

E-Filed 4/23/10

JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO (CABN 44332)
United States Attorney

BRIAN J. STRETCH (CSBN 163973)
Chief, Criminal Division

DAVID M. PAXTON (CASBN 266940)
Assistant United States Attorney

150 Almaden Boulevard
San Jose, California 95113
Telephone: (408) 535-5040
Facsimile: (408) 535-5066
Email: david.paxton@usdoj.gov

Attorneys for the United States of America

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION

| | | |
|---------------------------|---|---------------------------------------|
| UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, |) | No. CR 10-00211-RS |
| |) | |
| Plaintiff, |) | STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] |
| |) | ORDER EXCLUDING TIME FROM |
| v. |) | APRIL 20, 2010 TO MAY 18, 2010 FROM |
| |) | THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT |
| LUIS ALBERTO SANCHEZ, |) | CALCULATION (18 U.S.C. § |
| |) | 3161(h)(8)(A)) |
| Defendant. |) | |
| |) | |
| |) | |

On April 20, 2010, the parties appeared for a hearing before this Court. At that hearing, the government and defense requested an exclusion of time under the Speedy Trial Act based upon the defense counsel's need to effectively prepare by reviewing discovery materials submitted by the government and the need to jointly negotiate a resolution in this matter. At that time, the Court set the matter for a hearing on May 18, 2010.

The parties stipulate that the time between April 20, 2010 and May 18, 2010 is excluded under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. §3161, and agree that the failure to grant the requested continuance would unreasonably deny defense counsel reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. Finally, the parties agree that the

ends of justice served by granting the requested continuance outweigh the best interest of the public, and the defendant in a speedy trial and in the prompt disposition of criminal cases. 18 U.S.C. §3161(h)(8)(A).

DATED: April 22, 2010

JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO
United States Attorney

/s/

DAVID M. PAXTON
Assistant United States Attorney

/s/

MANUEL ARAUJO
Attorney for Defendant

ORDER

Based upon the stipulation of the parties, and for good cause shown, the Court HEREBY ORDERS that the time between April 20, 2010 and May 18, 2010, is excluded under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. §3161. The court finds that the failure to grant the requested continuance would unreasonably deny defense counsel reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. Furthermore, the Court finds that the ends of justice served by granting the requested continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial and in the prompt disposition of criminal cases. The court therefore concludes that this exclusion of time should be made under 18 U.S.C. §3161(h)(8)(A).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: 4/22/10



RICHARD SEEBORG
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE